

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/574,974	04/07/2006	Ram Oron	55219-00013USPX	5932	
7590 07/06/2007 Stephen G Rudisill			EXAMINER		
Jenkens & Gilchrist A Professional Corporation Suite 2600 225 W Washington Chicago, IL 60606-3418			LEUNG, QUYEN PHAN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2874		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/574,974	ORON ET AL.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Quyen P. Leung	2874				
Period for Reply	cars on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDOI	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
, <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-70</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-46 and 55-70</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,12,14,17,47 and 50 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 2-11,13,15,16,48,49 and 51-54 is/are	objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
		Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		-				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	priority under 25 U.S.C. \$ 440/	a) (d) az (f)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	red.				
Attachment(s)	_					
X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20060925, 200611115</u> .	6) Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the scattering core" in claim 12 line 2.
 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Did applicant mean –the scattering end tip—instead?

### Claim Objections

Claims 51-52 are objected to because of the following informalities:

 "the method of claim 51" in claim 51 line 1 is an improper dependent claim, not depending upon a previous claim, but itself. Did applicant mean to say instead –the method of claim 50--? Claim 52 is rejected for the same reason as claim 51 by virtue of its dependency to claim 51.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,974 Page 3

Art Unit: 2874

Claims 1, 14, 17, 47, 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dalgnault et al (EP 0 399 660).

Re independent claim 1, Dalgnault et al (EP 0 399 660) teaches the claimed invention of an optical hot tip (10) comprising a core (inherent to the fiber 14) that receives the high power optical energy; and a scattering end tip (12,—see col. 5 lines 5-12 for the implication of scattering with the teaching of illumination, heating, vaporization or thermography, as further evidenced by the definition of *scattering* "spreading by diffusion" from wordnet.Princeton.edu/perl/webwn and of *diffusion* "the spontaneous spreading of something such as particles, heat, or momentum" from wn.wikipedia.org/wiki/diffusion) adjacent (see col. 5 lines 45-47 for the teaching of adjacent) to an end of the fiber (14) and containing an absorber (12, see abstract for absorbing teaching), such that the optical energy is impinged on the absorber, to heat the absorber to a temperature of at least about 100 degrees Celcius (see col. 1 lines 20-24 for the teaching of several hundred degrees Centigrade).

Re independent claim 47, Dalgnault et al discloses an optical hot tip for absorbing high optical energy traveling in a fiber, comprising a means (12) for absorbing light (see abstract and col. 5 line 55 through col. 6 line 14 for the teaching of absorbing) from the fiber (14) in a predetermined volume (see col. 5 lines 39-49 for the volume formed to be smoothly curved or rounded) in order to generate heat.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18-46, 55-70 are allowed.

Claims 2-11, 13, 15-16, 48-49, 51-54 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The claims are allowed, because the closest cited prior art fail to teach or fairly suggest the combinations as claimed. Bruce (5,534,000) teaches a laser fiber apparatus having a contact tip (18) and adjacent diffuser (i.e. scattering) element (20), but fails to teach or fairly suggest either an absorbing layer, a scattering core, or a first conductive layer adjacent to the end of the fiber such that the optical energy is impinged on the first conductive layer. Note in col. 5 lines 43-47 the inherently nonconductive diffuser is made by mixing 4 parts of an optical adhesive with 1 part alumina and then cured with ultraviolet light. Ohsawa (5,530,780) teaches a fiber optic laser conducting and diffusion device comprising a scattering core (7') for uniform light distribution and also a resin light diffusion tip (4), but fails to teach an absorbing layer to conduct high power energy away from the scattering core or a first conductive layer adjacent to the end of the fiber such that the optical energy is impinged on the first conductive layer, creating a scattering tip.

Art Unit: 2874

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quyen P. Leung whose telephone number is (571) 272-8188. The examiner can normally be reached on normally M-F, 6:15 am - 2:45 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Quyen Leung

Primary Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 2874

qpl